

GREEN FINANCE IMPACT REPORTING FOR BAWAG GROUP

RESIDENTIAL PORTFOLIO AUSTRIA, GERMANY & THE NETHERLANDS

FEBRUARY 2023

C. Tschätsch, M. Jelencsits, K. Sperka & L. Namur



///// GREEN BOND IMPACT – BAWAG GROUP

Summary

BAWAG	Low Carbon Buildings	Signed Amount ^a	Annual final energy savings ^b	Annual CO2 emissions avoidance ^c
Group	Unit	[EUR]	[MWh/year]	[tCO2/year]
	BAWAG GROUP - AT, DE, NL	3.445.960.662	470.833	71.895
	Residential - Austria	2.627.067.489	405.615	56.597
	Single-family houses - AT	2.001.865.759	364.365	50.842
Ell Taxonomy Construction	Multi-family houses - AT	625.201.730	41.250	5.756
and real estate activities	Residential - Germany	248.092.444	55.871	12.717
and real estate activities -	Single-family houses -DE	171.226.290	26.511	6.034
	Multi-family houses - DE	76.866.154	29.360	6.683
	Residential - Netherlands	570.800.729	9.347	2.580
	Single-family houses - NL	477.475.218	8.394	2.317
	Multi-family houses - NL	93.325.511	953	263
^a Legally committed signed amount by	y the issuer for the porfolio or portfolio co	omponents eligible for gree	en bond financing	
^b Final energy savings calculated using	the difference between the top 15% and	the national building stock	benchmarks	
^c Greenhouse gas emissions avoidance	e determined by multiplying the final ener	gy savings with the carbon	emissions intensity	

Drees & Sommer impact reporting based on the EU Taxonomy eligibility criteria for construction and real estate activities for the residential portfolio in Austria, Germany and The Netherlands. Status: February 2023

DREES &

SOMMER

IIIII BAWAG GREEN FINANCE

EU Taxonomy eligibility criteria – climate change mitigation – Residential assets in Austria

			Single family houses (SFH)	Multi family houses (MFH)		
New construction		Nearly Zero Energy Buildings built after 31 st December 2020	The primary energy demand is at least 10% lower then the "Nearly Zero Energy Building"-Standard (NZEB)'s threshold. Based on "Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD)", the NZEB is set in "OIB-RL6"-"Nationaler Plan" (OIB-330.6-005/18)			
or Existing buildings	1)		New Construction: NZEB-10%: Primary energy PED _{H,n.ren.} ≤ 36.9 kWh/m ² _{GFA} a Major Renovation: NZEB-10%: Primary energy PED _{H,n.ren.} ≤ 39.6 kWh/m ² _{GFA} a			
	2)	Energy performance certificate (EPC)	Energy performance certificate with energy efficiency rating of A or better, complying with: - heating demand HWB _{(Ref),SK} ≤ 25 kWh/m ² _{GFA} a , or - energy efficiency factor f _{GEE,(SK)} ≤ 0.85			
Existing buildings built before 2021	2)	Top 15% Year of construction (building permit)	Salzburg: 2012 All other counties: 2010	Burgenland: 2017 Vorarlberg: 2013 Salzburg: 2012 All other counties: 2010		
	5)	based on Building Energy Codes (OIB) and Primary Energy Demand (PED)	All counties: OIB-R6-2007 (OIB-300.6-038/07) with stringency of 01.01.2010	Burgenland: OIB-R6-2015 Vorarlberg: OIB-R6-2011 All other counties: OIB-R6-2007 with string. 01.01.2010		
Renovation of	4)	Major renovation	Major renovation meets cost-optimal minimum energy performance requirements in accordance with the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD). Requirements for total energy efficiency as referenced in "OIB-RL6:2015" (OIB-330.6-009/15) or newer.			
Existing buildings	<i>+</i>)		Relative improvement in non-renewable primary energy demand ≥ 30% in comparison to the performance of the building before the renovation.			

Drees & Sommer low carbon building criteria are based on EU Taxonomy (Delegated Act – June 2021 – technical criteria for climate change mitigation). Criteria are valid for assets located in Austria. Status: February 2023. Assets do need to comply only with one of the criteria 1) – 4) to proof eligibility, according to the corresponding asset category and usage.

DREES &

SOMMER

IIIII BAWAG GREEN FINANCE Reference benchmarks – Residential assets in Austria

		Ø-Reference values: En	ergy		Ø-Reference values: CO ₂ -equivalent		
Single		Building-weighted reference benchmark	Label	Primary Energy Demand total PEBsκ [kWh/m²a]		Ruilding-weighted reference benchmark	
family		(heating, hot water):	A++	≤ 60		(heating, hot water): 52.2 kgCO ₂ /m ² _{GFA} a	
houses	Primary energy factor	$FED_{H} = 299.8 \text{ kWh/m}^{2}_{GFA}a$ $PED_{H} = 374.0 \text{ kWh/m}^{2}_{GFA}a$	A+	≤ 70	CO ₂ emission intensity mean residential (heating, hot water): 0.174 kgCO ₂ /kWh		
			А	≤ 80			
	(heating, hot water):	Building-weighted reference benchmark (heating, hot water): FED _H = 189.9 kWh/m ² _{GFA} a PED _H = 236.9 kWh/m ² _{GFA} a	В	≤ 160		Building-weighted reference benchmark	
	1.247		С	≤ 220			
Multi			D	≤ 280			
tamily			E	≤ 340		(heating, hot water): $33.1 \text{ kgCO} / \text{m}^2$	
nouses			F	≤ 400		SS.1 KgCO ₂ /III _{GFA} d	
			G	>400			

Source: Drees & Sommer low carbon building benchmarks. Benchmarks are valid for assets located in Austria. Status: February 2023

 $FED_{H} / PED_{H} = mean final/primary energy demand benchmark (heating, hot water)$

PEBsk = Primärenergiebedarf Energieausweis – total primary energy demand (heating, hot water, electricity) from EPC

GFA = heated gross floor area



/////

GREEN BOND IMPACT REPORT BAWAG GROUP

Austrian residential real estate portfolio

	Year of			Share of Total Portfolio	Eligibility for	Average portfolio	Annual final energy	Annual CO2 emissions
Low Carbon Buildings	Issuance	Туре	Signed Amount ^a	Financing ^b	green bonds ^c	lifetime ^d	savings ^e	avoidance ^f
Unit	[уууу]	[-]	[EUR]	[%]	[%]	[years]	[MWh/year]	[tCO2/year]
BAWAG Group	2023	Low Carbon Building	2.627.067.489	100,0	100	27,2	405.615	56.597
Single-family houses	2023	Low Carbon Building	2.001.865.759	76,2	100	27,5	364.365	50.842
Multi-family houses	2023	Low Carbon Building	625.201.730	23,8	100	26,6	41.250	5.756

^a Legally committed signed amount by the issuer for the porfolio or portfolio components eligible for green bond financing.

^b Portion of the total portfolio cost that is financed by the issuer.

^c Portion of the total portfolio cost that is eligible for Green Bond.

^d average remaining term of Green Bond loan within the total portfolio.

^e Final energy savings calculated using the difference between the top 15% and the national building stock benchmarks

Greenhouse gas emissions avoidance determined by multiplying the final energy savings with the carbon emissions intensity

The portfolio assessment applies the established green bond methodology with its eligibility criteria for Austrian residential real estate.



GREEN BOND IMPACT REPORT BAWAG GROUP Austrian residential real estate portfolio – Impact Reporting











Austrian Green Bond Portfolio:

Buildings:	13 401
Exposure:	2 627 067 489 EUR
Energy savings:	405 615 MWh/year
Carbon emissions savings:	56 597 tCO ₂ /year



IIIII BAWAG GREEN FINANCE

EU Taxonomy eligibility criteria – climate change mitigation – Residential assets in the Netherlands

		Low-carbon buildings	Single-Family	Multi-Family				
New or existing buildings	1)	Nearly Zero Energy Building Built 2021 or newer	At least 10 % lower than the requirements for the primary energy demand of the "Nearly Zero Energy Building" standard (NZEB). Based on the "Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EBPD)", the NZEB standard is implemented in the BENG requirements. NZEB-10%: Primary energy PE ≤ 45 kWh/m²a (residential building).					
Building Acquisition & Ownership	2)	Nearly Zero Energy Building Built before 31/12/2020	"Bouwbesluit 2012" amendment from the 13 th December 2019 (<i>"Besluit van 13 december 2019, houdende wijziging van het Bouwbeslui</i> 2012 en van enkele andere besluiten inzake bijna energie-neutrale nieuwbouw") with primary energy PE ≤ 50 kWh/m²a (residential building) PE ≤ 30 kWh/m²a (other residential function)					
	3)	Energy performance certificate Built before 31/12/2020	Final EPCe with energy label A or BENG EPCe with energy label A+ or better	Final EPCe with energy label A or BENG EPCe with energy label A+				
	4)	Top15% Building Energy code primary energy requirement Built before 31/12/2020	Building code 2003 incl. amendments from 22/10/2010 with energy requirement EPCo ≤ 0.6 or better	in combination with an EPCo (or comparable) \leq 0.6 or in combination with year of construction newer than 2010				
Denevation	E)		Major renovation meets cost-optimal minimum energy performance requirements according to the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EBPD).					
Renovation	וכ	Froherry obgrade	Relative improvement in non-renewable primary energy demand ≥ 30% in comparison to the performance of the building before the renovation.					

Drees & Sommer low carbon building criteria are based on EU Taxonomy (Delegated Act – July 2021 – technical criteria for climate change mitigation). Criteria are valid for assets located in the Netherlands. Status: February 2023. Assets do need to comply only with one of the criteria 1) – 4) to proof eligibility, according to the corresponding asset category and usage.

///// BAWAG GREEN FINANCE

Reference benchmarks – Residential assets in the Netherlands

	Ø-Refe	erence values: Energ	39		Ø-Reference values: C	D ₂ -equivalent		
	Label	Energy-Index 01/01/2015 31/12/2020	Primary energy demand 01/01/2021 31/05/2022	Primary energy demand 02/06/2022				
Building stock weighted reference	A++++		≤ 0	≤ 0				
benchmarks:	A+++		> 0 & ≤ 50	> 0 & ≤ 50				
End energy:	A++		> 50 & ≤ 80	> 50 & ≤ 75		Building stock weighted reference benchmark: 25.4 kgCO ₂ /m ² a		
Ø108.2 kWh/m²a	A+		> 80 & ≤ 110	> 75 & ≤ 105	Building stock weighted			
	A	≤ 1.20	> 110 & ≤ 165	> 105 & ≤ 160	reference benchmark:			
Primary energy factor:	В	1.21 - 1.40	> 165 & ≤ 195	> 160 & ≤ 190	CO ₂ -Intensity:			
Ø0.971	С	1.41 - 1.80	> 195 & ≤ 255	> 190 & ≤ 250	\emptyset 0.235 kgCO ₂ /kWh			
	D	1.81 - 2.10	> 255 & ≤ 300	> 250 & ≤ 290				
Primary energy:	E	2.11 - 2.40	> 300 & ≤ 345	> 290 & ≤ 335				
Ø105.0 kWh/m²a	F	2.41 - 2.70	> 345 & ≤ 390	> 335 & ≤ 380				
	G	> 2.70	> 390	> 380				

Source: Drees & Sommer low carbon building benchmarks. Benchmarks are valid for assets located in The Netherlands. Status: February 2023

/////

GREEN BOND IMPACT REPORT BAWAG GROUP

Dutch residential real estate portfolio

Low Carbon Buildings	Year of	Туре	Signed Amount ^a	Share of Total Portfolio Financing ^b	Eligibility for green bonds ^c	Average portfolio lifetime ^d	Annual final energy savings ^e	Annual CO2 emissions avoidance ^f
Unit	[уууу]	[-]	[EUR]	[%]	[%]	[years]	[MWh/year]	[tCO2/year]
BAWAG GROUP	2023	Low Carbon Building	570.800.729	100,0	100	27,0	9.347	2.580
Single family houses - NL	2023	Low Carbon Building	477.475.218	83,7	100	26,9	8.394	2.317
Multy family houses - NL	2023	Low Carbon Building	93.325.511	16,3	100	27,7	953	263

DREES

Sommer

^a Legally committed signed amount by the issuer for the porfolio or portfolio components eligible for green bond financing.

^b Portion of the total portfolio cost that is financed by the issuer.

^c Portion of the total portfolio cost that is eligible for Green Bond.

^d average remaining term of Green Bond loan within the total portfolio.

^e Final energy savings calculated using the difference between the top 15% and the national building stock benchmarks

^f Greenhouse gas emissions avoidance determined by multiplying the final energy savings with the carbon emissions intensity

The portfolio assessment applies the established green bond methodology with its eligibility criteria for Dutch residential real estate.

GREEN BOND IMPACT REPORT BAWAG GROUP

The Netherlands residential real estate portfolio – Impact Reporting











Dutch Green Bond Portfolio:

•	Buildings:	4 485
•	Exposure:	570 800 729 EUR
•	Energy savings:	9 347 MWh/year
-	Carbon emissions savings	: 2 580 tCO ₂ /year



IIIII BAWAG GREEN FINANCE

EU Taxonomy – eligibility criteria for climate change mitigation – residential assets in Germany

Economic activity	Screening criteria	Single-Family houses ¹	Multi-Family houses ²			
7.1 Construction of new buildings	Nearly Zero-Energy Building Primary energy demand ³ minus 10%	At least 10% lower than the requirements for the primary energy demand of the "Nearly Zero-Energy Building" standard (NZEB). Based on the "Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EBPD)", the NZEB-standard is implemented in the GEG 2020 (Gebäudeenergiegesetz) requirements.				
7.2 Renovation of existing buildings	Major Renovation Cost optimal level	The building renovation complies with the applicable requirements for major renovations as defined in the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EBPD), based on the cost optimal level as defined in EnEV 2016. (EnEV 2016 as EnEV 2014 with amendments from 01.01.2016)				
	Property Upgrade Relative improvement ≥ 30% in primary energy demand	Relative improvement in primary energy demand ≥ 30% in comparison to the performance of the building before the renovation. Reductions through renewable energy sources are not taken into account.				
	Energy Performance Certificate EPC at least class A	Energy performance class A+ or A Final energy demand⁴: A+ ≤ 30 A ≤ 50 kWh/(m²a)				
7.7 Acquisition and ownership of buildings	top 15%	Energy performance class A+, A or B with a final energy demand: A+ ≤ 30 A ≤ 50 kWh/(m²a) B ≤ 75 kWh/(m²a)	Energy performance class A+ or A with a final energy demand: A+ ≤ 30 A ≤ 50 kWh/(m²a)			
	of the national existing building stock⁵	Primary energy demand: ≤ 74 kWh/(m²a) Primary energy demand: EnEV 2009 or better Final metered energy use6: ≤ 70 kWh/(m²a)				

¹SFH: Single-Family house with 1-2 units | ²MFH: Multi-Family house with >2 units | ³Primary energy demand = Primärenergiebedarf | ⁴Final energy demand = Endenergiebedarf | ⁵The EU Taxonomy Regulation focuses on primary energy demand in its eligibility criteria. In Germany, energy performance certificates (EPCs) can be issued based on calculated primary energy demand as well as metered primary energy consumption. In this study, therefore the top 15%- eligibility criteria are also indicated on metered consumption figures. | ⁶Final metered energy use = gemessener Endenergieverbrauch

///// BAWAG GREEN FINANCE Energy & CO₂-Benchmarks – Residential buildings in Germany

Ø-Reference values: Ener	gy – Residential	Buildings		—
Building stock weighted reference benchmarks: Final energy: Ø147.2 kWh/(m²a) Primary energy factor: Ø1.05 Primary energy: Ø155 kWh/(m²a)	Label A+ A B C D E F G H	End energy demand ≤ 30 kWh/(m ² a) ≤ 50 kWh/(m ² a) ≤ 75 kWh/(m ² a) ≤ 100 kWh/(m ² a) ≤ 130 kWh/(m ² a) ≤ 160 kWh/(m ² a) ≤ 200 kWh/(m ² a) ≤ 250 kWh/(m ² a)	Building stock weighted reference benchmark: CO ₂ -Intensity: Ø0.239 kgCO ₂ /kWh	Building stock weighted reference benchmark: 35.2 kgCO ₂ /(m²a)

Source: Drees & Sommer low carbon building benchmarks. Benchmarks are valid for assets located in Germany. Status: February 2023

/////

GREEN BOND PORTFOLIO ASSESSMENT

German residential real estate portfolio

				Share of Total		Annual final	Annual CO2
	Year of			Portfolio	Eligibility for	energy	emissions
Low Carbon Buildings	Issuance	Туре	Signed Amount ^a	Financing ^b	green bonds ^c	savings ^e	avoidance ^f
Unit	[уууу]	[-]	[EUR]	[%]	[%]	[MWh/year]	[tCO2/year]
BAWAG Group	2023	Low Carbon Building	248.092.444	100	100	55.871	12.717
Einfamilienhaus	2023	Low Carbon Building	171.226.290	69,0	100	26.511	6.034
Mehrfamilienhaus	2023	Low Carbon Building	76.866.154	31,0	100	29.360	6.683

DRFFS

Sommer

^a Legally committed signed amount by the issuer for the porfolio or portfolio components eligible for green bond financing.

^b Portion of the total portfolio cost that is financed by the issuer.

^c Portion of the total portfolio cost that is eligible for Green Bond.

^d average remaining term of Green Bond loan within the total portfolio.

^e Final energy savings calculated using the difference between the top 15% and the national building stock benchmarks

[†] Greenhouse gas emissions avoidance determined by multiplying the final energy savings with the carbon emissions intensity

The portfolio assessment applies the established green bond methodology with its eligibility criteria for Austrian residential real estate.

GREEN BOND IMPACT REPORT BAWAG GROUP German residential real estate portfolio – Impact Reporting



Assessed Portfolio - Buildings



German Green Bond Portfolio:

•	Buildings:	2 686
•	Exposure:	248 092 444 EUR
•	Energy savings:	55 871 MWh/year
•	Carbon emissions saving	s: 12 717 tCO ₂ /year

DREES &

SOMMER





